Subj: Re: Weisberg's Wise Words To Ritchie Linton
Date: 98-06-28 02:24:29 EDT
From: rlinton@idirect.com (ritchie linton)
To: bobcat6971@aol.com (Bobcat6971)

Bobcat6971 wrote:
>
> So glad to see someone quote someone credible, namely Harold Weisberg (just
> turned 85 and still writing in Frederick, Maryland, by the way). Hisname
> doesn't come up very often and he is still the most accurate and credible of
> conspiracy writers.**********

****

He da man, as they say.Howard Roffman's book, "Presumed Guity", neatly summarizes his heavy rendering of why Oswald was innocent.Kudos to Martin Shakleford for posting the URL that lets one download Roffman's book.Find it; do it; and copy it to your word processor and print it. Then read it.

Now, lets open a new thread. Lets call this by a tired name-"Challenge to CT"- and in this thread, lets see the common CT writers put Oswald in that window with the rifle.

See, what is not commonly understood is that Weisberg's proofs opf Oswald's innocence(summarized by Roffman), are a problem for the usual CT that involves a crossfire. Everyone is quick to asume a shot from the GK because of th observable backward snap of the head seen in the Zfilm, but the problem is, to have a crossfire, you need an accomplice to the shot you think you see in the Zfilm. By definition, there is no such a thing as a single person crossfire.

So if Oswald was not back there with a gun- and he was not, as Weisberg and Roffman show-who was back there with a gun?

Here then is the new challenge to the CT that posts to this NG-lets have your reconstruction that succeeds in putting Oswald to the rear with the gun, in order to complete the crossfire.

We all know what the CT thinks about the GK assassin- but what we do not know is wher and who was his accomplice? That is the chalenge. May we please have the definitive reconstruction that places Oswald to the rear with the rifle in a CT?

Obviously, those answers which accept the WC reconstruction must be; well-invalid. See Weisberg, Roffman, and the countless others who have here posted against the WCR.

That is the newness of the challenge-how does CT get Oswwld to that window with the gun?

Ritchie

———————— Headers ————————
Return-Path: <rlinton@idirect.com>
Received: from rly-za05.mx.aol.com (rly-za05.mail.aol.com [172.31.36.101]) by air-za03.mail.aol.com (v45.13) with SMTP; Sun, 28 Jun 1998 02:24:29 -0400
Received: from nexus.idirect.com (nexus.idirect.com [207.136.80.55])
by rly-za05.mx.aol.com (8.8.8/8.8.5/AOL-4.0.0)
with ESMTP id CAA15754 for <bobcat6971@aol.com>;

Sun, 28 Jun 1998 02:24:29 -0400 (EDT)
Received: from chaosnet18.idirect.com (chaosnet18.idirect.com [207.136.86.210])
by nexus.idirect.com (8.8.8/8.8.4) with SMTP
id CAA25284 for <bobcat6971@aol.com>; Sun, 28 Jun 1998 02:24:26 -0400 (EDT)
Message-ID: <3596095C.7588@idirect.com>
Date: Sun, 28 Jun 1998 02:14:04 -0700
From: ritchie linton <rlinton@idirect.com>
Organization: self
X-Mailer: Mozilla 2.01 (Win16; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: alt.assassination.jfk
To: Bobcat6971 <bobcat6971@aol.com>
Subject: Re: Weisberg's Wise Words To Ritchie Linton
References: <6mqtm0$8o8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com> <1998062715564700.LAA15367@ladder01.news.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit